

ON THE RELATIVE WALL–WITT GROUPS

BY

YONGJIN SONG*

Department of Mathematics
Inha University, Incheon, 402-751, Korea
e-mail: yjsong@munhak.inha.ac.kr

ABSTRACT

Let R_* be a simplicial involutive ring. According to certain involutions on $K(R_*)$ and ${}_\varepsilon L(R_*)$, there are $\frac{1}{2}$ -local splittings

$$K(R_*) \simeq K^s(R_*) \times K^a(R_*)$$

and

$${}_\varepsilon L(R_*) \simeq {}_\varepsilon L^s(R_*) \times {}_\varepsilon L^a(R_*) .$$

It is known [2] that ${}_\varepsilon L_n^a(R_*) \cong {}_\varepsilon L_n^a(\pi_0 R_*) \cong {}_\varepsilon W_n(\pi_0 R_*)$, the Wall–Witt group. Suppose $I \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{f} S$ is a split extension of discrete involutive rings with $I^2 = 0$, and I is a free S -bimodule. Then we have

$$K_{n+1}(f) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \text{Prim}_n \bigwedge^* M(I \otimes \mathbb{Q})$$

and

$${}_\varepsilon L_{n+1}(f) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \text{Prim}_n \bigwedge^* {}_\varepsilon \mathcal{O}(I \otimes \mathbb{Q}) .$$

The trace map $\text{Tr}: \text{Prim}_n \bigwedge^* M(I \otimes \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \overline{W}_0(\rho_n; I \otimes \mathbb{Q})$ is an isomorphism. We prove in Lemma 1 that the trace map Tr is $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -equivariant. In Theorem 2 we show that under a certain assumption the rational relative Wall–Witt group vanishes. Theorem 2 can be extended to a more general case (Theorem 3) by employing Goodwillie’s reduction technique [3].

* This work was partially supported by KOSEF under Grant 923-0100-010-1.
 Received February 17, 1993 and in revised form April 4, 1994

1. Introduction

T. Goodwillie showed [3] that the rational relative algebraic K -theory is isomorphic to rational relative cyclic homology under a certain assumption: $f: R_* \rightarrow S_*$ is a map of simplicial rings such that the induced map $\pi_0 R_* \rightarrow \pi_0 S_*$ is surjective with nilpotent kernel. He proved it by reducing it to a more special case in which we may explicitly construct an isomorphism $\text{Tr}: K_{n+1}(f) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow W_0(\rho_n; I \otimes \mathbb{Q})$. The map Tr which plays important roles in cyclic homology and classical invariant theory is called a **trace map**. $W_0(\rho_n; I \otimes \mathbb{Q})$ is a certain Hochschild homology. We have

$$K_{n+1}(f) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \text{Prim}_n \bigwedge^* M(I \otimes \mathbb{Q}).$$

Assume that all rings that we deal with in this paper are involutive rings (rings with 1 and involutions).

We have involutions on $\text{Prim}_n \bigwedge^* M(I \otimes \mathbb{Q})$ and $\overline{W}_0(\rho_n; I \otimes \mathbb{Q})$ for which the map Tr is $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -invariant, i.e.,

$$\text{Tr}: K_{n+1}^s(f) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\cong} \overline{W}_0^+(\rho_n; I \otimes \mathbb{Q}).$$

Meanwhile, the author proved in [9] that under some condition the rational relative hermitian K -theory is isomorphic to rational relative dihedral homology. In the proof it is shown that $\text{Tr}: {}_\varepsilon L_{n+1}(f) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \overline{W}_0^+(\rho_n; I \otimes \mathbb{Q})$ is an isomorphism. According to an involution on ${}_\varepsilon L_{n+1}(f) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ we have

$${}_\varepsilon L_{n+1}(f) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong {}_\varepsilon L_{n+1}^s(f) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \oplus {}_\varepsilon L_{n+1}^a(f) \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

Burghilea and Fiedorowicz [2] proved that

$${}_\varepsilon L_{n+1}^s(f) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong K_{n+1}^s(f) \otimes \mathbb{Q},$$

via the isomorphism induced by the forgetful map. ${}_\varepsilon L_{n+1}^a(f) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is isomorphic to the relative Wall–Witt theory ${}_\varepsilon W_{n+1}(f) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, which is another face of the obstruction group of Wall in surgery theory. Considering all these facts, we can prove that the rational relative Wall–Witt theory vanishes.

2. Preliminaries

First recall a bimodule structure (cf. [3]). Let R be a ring with 1. Let M be an involutive R -bimodule (R -bimodule with an involution). We can endow $M^{\otimes n}$

with an $R^{\otimes n}$ -bimodule structure according to a permutation $\rho \in \Sigma_n$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (r_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes r_n)(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) &= r_1 a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes r_n a_n, \quad r_i \in R, a_i \in M, \\ (a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n)(r_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes r_n) &= a_1 r_{\rho(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n r_{\rho(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Define $D^n(\rho; M)$ to be $M^{\otimes n}$ with this $R^{\otimes n}$ -bimodule structure. There is an involution $\iota: D^n(\rho; M) \rightarrow D^n(\rho; M)$ defined by

$$\iota: a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \mapsto (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} \bar{a}_n \otimes \bar{a}_{n-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{a}_1.$$

Denote the Hochschild homology $H_*(R^{\otimes n}; D^n(\rho; M))$ by $W_*(\rho; M)$.

Note that $W_0(\rho; M)$ is the quotient of $M^{\otimes n}$ by the relations

$$r_1 a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes r_n a_n \sim a_1 r_{\rho(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n r_{\rho(n)}.$$

Denote the class of $a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n$ in $W_0(\rho; M)$ by $[a_1, \dots, a_n]_\rho$. For each permutation $\lambda \in \Sigma_n$ we have an isomorphism $W_0(\rho; M) \rightarrow W_0(\lambda^{-1}\rho\lambda; M)$ defined by $[a_1, \dots, a_n]_\rho \mapsto \text{sgn}(\lambda)[a_{\lambda(1)}, \dots, a_{\lambda(n)}]_{\lambda^{-1}\rho\lambda}$. Thus the centralizer $C(\rho)$ of ρ acts on $W_0(\rho; M)$. $\overline{W}_0(\rho; M)$ denotes the covariant of $C(\rho)$ -action, and $[\overline{a_1}, \dots, \overline{a_n}]_\rho \in \overline{W}_0(\rho; M)$ means the class of $[a_1, \dots, a_n]_\rho$. We may have an involution on $\overline{W}_0(\rho; M)$ induced by that on $D^n(\rho; M)$. Denote by $\overline{W}_0^+(\rho; M)$ the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -invariant of $\overline{W}_0(\rho; M)$.

Let R_* be a simplicial involutive ring. Recall that $K_i(R_*) = \pi_i \widehat{BGL}(R_*)^+$ for $i \geq 1$, where $\widehat{GL}(R_*)$, which is a grouplike simplicial monoid, is a collection of connected components of all matrices $M(R_*)$ so that $\pi_0 \widehat{GL}(R_*) = GL(\pi_0 R_*)$. We denote $\widehat{BGL}(R_*)^+$ by $K(R_*)$. We define the hermitian K -theory ${}_\varepsilon L_i(R)$ as follows (cf. [2]): Let $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. Let ${}_\varepsilon \widehat{O}_{n,n}(R_*)$ be a simplicial category whose objects are $2n \times 2n$ matrices A over R_* such that $A = \varepsilon A^*$ and $p(A) = C^* \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varepsilon I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} C$ for some $C \in GL_{2n}(\pi_0 R)$, where the map p is induced by the quotient map $R_* \rightarrow \pi_0 R_*$. A morphism $M: A \rightarrow B$ is a matrix $M \in \widehat{GL}_{2n}(R_*)$ with $M^* A M = B$. Let ${}_\varepsilon \widehat{O}(R_*)$ be $\coprod_{n \geq 1} {}_\varepsilon \widehat{O}_{n,n}(R_*)$. By $\widetilde{\text{Sym}}_\varepsilon({}_\varepsilon \widehat{O}_{n,n}(R_*))$ we denote the set of objects of the category ${}_\varepsilon \widehat{O}_{n,n}(R_*)$. Note that ${}_\varepsilon \widehat{O}(R_*)$ is a permutative category via the direct sum of matrices, so the group completion of the classifying space $B_\varepsilon \widehat{O}(R_*) = \coprod_{n \geq 1} B_\varepsilon \widehat{O}_{n,n}(R)$ is an infinite loop space which is the plus construction. Denote

$${}_\varepsilon L(R_*) = B_\varepsilon \widehat{O}(R_*)^+ = \Omega B_\oplus \left(\prod_{n \geq 1} B_\varepsilon \widehat{O}_{n,n}(R_*) \right).$$

Define the i -th hermitian K -theory ${}_{\varepsilon}L_i(R_*)$ to be

$$\pi_i {}_{\varepsilon}L(R_*) = \pi_i B {}_{\varepsilon}\widehat{O}(R_*)^+.$$

Meanwhile, $B {}_{\varepsilon}\widehat{O}_{n,n}(R_*)$ is identified with the two-sided bar construction

$$B(\widetilde{\text{Sym}}_{n,n}^{\varepsilon}(R_*), \widehat{GL}_{2n}(R_*), *).$$

$\widehat{GL}_{2n}(R_*)$ acts on $\widetilde{\text{Sym}}_{n,n}^{\varepsilon}(R_*)$ via $(A, M) \mapsto M^*AM$ where $M \in \widehat{GL}_{2n}(R_*)$, $A \in \widetilde{\text{Sym}}_{n,n}^{\varepsilon}(R_*)$. We denote $B {}_{\varepsilon}\widehat{O}(R_*)^+$ by ${}_{\varepsilon}L(R_*)$.

Let R be an (discrete) involutive ring. We have an involution on $K(R) = BGL(R)^+$ induced by the map $A \mapsto (A^*)^{-1}$ on $GL_n(R)$. More generally, for a simplicial involutive ring R_* , the involution on $K(R_*)$ is induced by the involution on $B\widehat{GL}_n(R_*)$ sending

$$[(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k), (t_0, \dots, t_k)]$$

to

$$[(A_k^*, A_{k-1}^*, \dots, A_1^*), (t_k, t_{k-1}, \dots, t_0)].$$

Recall that for a group G the involution on BG induced by the inverse map on G is canonically homotopic to the map given by

$$[(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k), (t_0, \dots, t_k)] \mapsto [(g_k, g_{k-1}, \dots, g_1), (t_k, t_{k-1}, \dots, t_0)].$$

The involution on $B {}_{\varepsilon}\widehat{O}_{n,n}(R_*) = B(\widetilde{\text{Sym}}_{n,n}^{\varepsilon}(R_*), \widehat{GL}_{2n}(R_*), *)$ is defined by the involution on $\widetilde{\text{Sym}}_{n,n}^{\varepsilon}(R_*)$ sending A to $-A$. We have $\frac{1}{2}$ -local splittings:

$$K(R_*) \simeq K^s(R_*) \times K^a(R_*) \quad \text{and} \quad {}_{\varepsilon}L(R_*) \simeq {}_{\varepsilon}L^s(R_*) \times {}_{\varepsilon}L^a(R_*),$$

where s and a denote the symmetric and the antisymmetric part, respectively. The forgetful map

$$B(\widetilde{\text{Sym}}_{n,n}^{\varepsilon}(R_*), \widehat{GL}_{2n}(R_*), *) \rightarrow \widehat{GL}_{2n}(R_*)$$

induces the map ${}_{\varepsilon}L(R_*) \rightarrow K(R_*)$ which, by being restricted on ${}_{\varepsilon}L^s(R_*)$, makes a homotopy equivalence ${}_{\varepsilon}L^s(R_*) \rightarrow K^s(R_*)$ [2]. It is also shown in [2] that ${}_{\varepsilon}L^a(R_*) \simeq {}_{\varepsilon}L^a(\pi_0 R_*)$, while $\pi_n {}_{\varepsilon}L^a(\pi_0 R_*)$ is isomorphic to the Wall–Witt group ${}_{\varepsilon}W_n(\pi_0 R_*)$, that is, the Wall–Witt group of a simplicial ring R_* means that of $\pi_0 R_*$ which is a discrete ring.

Let R be an involutive ring with 1. For a matrix $A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2n}(R)$, denote $\begin{pmatrix} \delta^* & \varepsilon\beta^* \\ \bar{\varepsilon}\gamma^* & \alpha^* \end{pmatrix}$ by $A^\# = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}^\#$. Recall that the hermitian orthogonal group ${}_\varepsilon\mathcal{O}_{n,n}(R)$ is defined by

$${}_\varepsilon\mathcal{O}_{n,n}(R) = \{M \in M_{2n}(R) : MM^\# = M^\#M = 1\}.$$

The Lie algebra corresponding to ${}_\varepsilon\mathcal{O}_{n,n}(R)$ is defined and denoted by

$$\begin{aligned} {}_\varepsilon\mathcal{O}_{n,n}(R) &= \{M \in M_{2n}(R) : -M^\# = M\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & -\alpha^* \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2n}(R) \mid \beta = -\varepsilon\beta^*, \gamma = -\bar{\varepsilon}\gamma^* \right\} \\ &= \left\{ M \in M_{2n}(R) : M^* \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varepsilon I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varepsilon I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} M^* = 0 \right\}; \end{aligned}$$

${}_\varepsilon\mathcal{O}(R)$ is defined by $\varinjlim {}_\varepsilon\mathcal{O}_{n,n}(R)$.

3. Main Theorem

Suppose that $I \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{f} S$ is a split extension of discrete involutive rings with $I^2 = 0$, and I is a free S -bimodule. Then

$$0 \rightarrow M(I) \rightarrow GL(R) \rightarrow GL(S) \rightarrow 1$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow {}_\varepsilon\mathcal{O}(I) \rightarrow {}_\varepsilon\mathcal{O}(R) \rightarrow {}_\varepsilon\mathcal{O}(S) \rightarrow 1$$

are exact sequences. The rational relative algebraic K -theory $K_{n+1}(f) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is isomorphic to $\pi_n BM(I) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ [3], so we have

$$K_{n+1}(f) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \text{Prim}_n \wedge^* M(I \otimes \mathbb{Q}).$$

Similarly, the rational relative hermitian K -theory ${}_\varepsilon L_{n+1}(f) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is isomorphic to $\text{Prim}_n \wedge_\varepsilon^* \mathcal{O}(I \otimes \mathbb{Q})$ ([9]). Goodwillie showed [3] that

$$K_{n+1}(f) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \text{Prim}_n \wedge^* M(I \otimes \mathbb{Q})$$

is isomorphic to $\overline{W}_0(\rho_n; I \otimes \mathbb{Q})$ via the trace map

$$\text{Tr} : \text{Prim}_n \wedge^* M(I \otimes \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \overline{W}_0(\rho_n; I \otimes \mathbb{Q})$$

that is given by

$$A_1 \wedge A_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge A_n \mapsto \sum_{\{i_j\}} \overline{[A_1(i_1, i_2), \dots, A_n(i_n, i_1)]}_{\rho_n}.$$

There is an involution τ on $K_{n+1}(f) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \text{Prim}_n \wedge^* M(I \otimes \mathbb{Q})$ which is induced by $A \mapsto -A^*$ for $A \in M(I \otimes \mathbb{Q})$. $\overline{W}_0(\rho_n; I \otimes \mathbb{Q})$ also has an involution σ given by

$$\sigma: \overline{[a_1, \dots, a_n]}_{\rho_n} \mapsto (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} \overline{[\bar{a}_n, \dots, \bar{a}_1]}_{\rho_n}.$$

LEMMA 1: *The trace map $\text{Tr}: \text{Prim}_n \wedge^* M(I \otimes \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \overline{W}_0(\rho_n; I \otimes \mathbb{Q})$ is $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -equivariant.*

Proof: We have the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_1 \wedge A_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge A_n & \longrightarrow & \sum_{\{i_j\}} \overline{[A_1(i_1, i_2), \dots, A_n(i_n, i_1)]}_{\rho_n} \\ \tau \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma \\ (-1)^n A_1^* \wedge A_2^* \wedge \cdots \wedge A_n^* & \longrightarrow & (-1)^n \sum_{\{i_j\}} \overline{[A_1^*(i_1, i_2), \dots, A_n^*(i_n, i_1)]}_{\rho_n} \\ & & \parallel \\ & & = (-1)^n (-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \sum_{\{i_j\}} \overline{[A_n(i_n, i_1), \dots, A_1(i_1, i_2)]}_{\rho_n}. \end{array}$$

■

Lemma 1 implies there is an isomorphism

$$(1) \quad K_{n+1}^s(f) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow[\cong]{\text{Tr}} \overline{W}_0^+(\rho_n; I \otimes \mathbb{Q}).$$

This enables us to obtain an important result on higher Wall–Witt groups.

THEOREM 2: *Suppose that $I \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{f} S$ is a split extension of discrete involutive rings with $I^2 = 0$, and I is a free S -bimodule. Then the rational relative Wall–Witt group vanishes, i.e., ${}_\varepsilon W_n(f) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$ for $n \geq 1$.*

Proof: The trace map Tr induces an isomorphism (cf. [9])

$$(2) \quad {}_\varepsilon L_{n+1}(f) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow[\cong]{\text{Tr}} \overline{W}_0^+(\rho_n; I \otimes \mathbb{Q}).$$

Burghlea and Fiedorowicz [2] showed that ${}_\varepsilon L_{n+1}^s(f) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is isomorphic to $K_{n+1}^s(f) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ via the isomorphism induced by the forgetful map. The antisymmetric part ${}_\varepsilon L_{n+1}^a(f) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is isomorphic to ${}_\varepsilon W_{n+1}(f)$. Considering the commutative

diagram that consists of the trace maps ((1), (2)), and the forgetful map, we get ${}_{\varepsilon}W_n(f) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$ for $n \geq 1$. ■

Let $F: {}_{\varepsilon}L_n(f) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow K_n(f) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ be the map induced by the forgetful map ${}_{\varepsilon}L(f) \rightarrow K(f)$. Define $\Phi(f): {}_{\varepsilon}L_n(f) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow K_n^s(f) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ by the composite

$${}_{\varepsilon}L_n(f) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{F} K_n(f) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{p_1} K_n^s(f) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

where p_1 is the projection. Theorem 2 implies that $\Phi(f)$ is an isomorphism. We can get a more general result than Theorem 2 by employing the technique analogous to Goodwillie's. We get the following theorem using the naturality of the map $\Phi(f)$.

THEOREM 3: *Suppose $f: R \rightarrow S$ is a map of simplicial involutive rings such that the induced map $\pi_0 R \rightarrow \pi_0 S$ is surjective with nilpotent kernel. Then the rational relative Wall-Witt group vanishes, i.e., ${}_{\varepsilon}W_n(f) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$ for $n \geq 1$.*

Proof: It suffices to show that $\Phi(f)$ is an isomorphism, since the following diagram commutes (cf. [2], Theorem 4.3):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}_{\varepsilon}L_n(f) \otimes \mathbb{Q} & \xrightarrow{F} & K_n(f) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{p_1} K_n^s(f) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \\ & \searrow q_1 & \nearrow F \\ & & {}_{\varepsilon}L_n^s(f) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \end{array}$$

Here q_1 is the projection. We show why Theorem 2 implies Theorem 3.

STEP I: We first show that it suffices to prove Theorem 3 for a surjection $f: R \rightarrow S$ with square-zero kernel. For a commutative diagram of simplicial rings satisfying the assumption of Theorem 3

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{f} & S \\ & \searrow h & \swarrow g \\ & & T \end{array}$$

if Theorem 3 holds for any two of these three maps then it holds for the other one. (From the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(R) & \longrightarrow & K(S) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K(T) & \xlongequal{\quad} & K(T) \end{array}$$

we get a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow K_n(f) \rightarrow K_n(h) \rightarrow K_n(g) \rightarrow K_{n-1}(f) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Likewise we get the same long exact sequence of relative hermitian K-theory, so we can use the five lemma and the surjectivity of $K_1(R) \rightarrow K_1(S)$.) Now we apply this principle to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\quad} & R/I^n \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & & R/I^{n-1} \end{array}$$

Using induction we see that if Theorem 3 holds for surjection $R \rightarrow R/I$ with $I^2 = 0$, then it also holds for surjections with $I^n = 0$ for $n \geq 2$.

Now consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{f} & S \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_0 R & \longrightarrow & \pi_0 S \end{array}$$

Then by the above principle again it suffices to consider the three maps of the above diagram other than f . The theorem holds for the vertical maps which are the quotient maps (see [3], 375-376).

STEP II: The relative K -theory and relative hermitian K -theory with *square-zero* simplicial ideal can be computed dimensionwise ([3], Lemma I.2.2 and [9], Lemma 3.6) so it is enough to consider $\Phi(f)$ for the extension $I \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{f} S$ of *discrete* rings with $I^2 = 0$. Moreover, we may assume that S is free, since we can replace S by its free resolution. Thus we may assume that the extension is split. For any ideal I of R , if $I^2 = 0$ then I is an R/I -bimodule. We now complete the proof of this theorem by replacing I by its free resolution. ■

References

- [1] A. Bak, *K-Theory of forms*, Annals of Mathematical Studies 98, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1981.
- [2] D. Burghilea and Z. Fiedorowicz, *Hermitian algebraic K-theory of simplicial rings and topological spaces*, Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées **64** (1985), 175–235.
- [3] T. Goodwillie, *Relative algebraic K-theory and cyclic homology*, Annals of Mathematics **124** (1986), 347–402.
- [4] M. Karoubi, *Theorie de Quillen et homologie du groupe orthogonal*, Annals of Mathematics **112** (1980), 207–238.
- [5] J. L. Loday, *Higher Witt groups, A survey*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **551** (1976), 311–335.
- [6] J. P. May, *E_∞ spaces, group completions and permutative categories*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes Series **11** (1974), 61–95.
- [7] A. Ranicki, *The algebraic theory of surgery I*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, 3rd ser. **40** (1980), 87–192.
- [8] Y. J. Song, *Volodin model for hermitian algebraic K-theory*, *K-Theory* **5** (1992), 485–501.
- [9] Y. J. Song, *Relative hermitian algebraic K-theory and dihedral homology*, *K-Theory* **5** (1992), 503–529.